

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,797	10/29/2003	Yoichiro Shimizu	117072	6056
25944	7590 . 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			BUI, LUAN KIM	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
	•		DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/694,797	SHIMIZU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Luan K. Bui	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>6-13</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>6-13</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/03 & 3/24/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/694,797 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 14, the phrase "said cap" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by The Japanese Publication Number 61-141560 to Kyuhachiro (hereinafter Kyuhachiro). Kyuhachiro discloses an ink package assembly comprising an ink package (20) fluid tightly containing a mass of an ink and a sealing wrapper (25) fluid tightly enclosing the ink package and an interior space of the sealing wrapper is charged with an inert gas such as helium gas which has a lower degree of solubility in the ink than the air (see abstract and Figure 2).
- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by The Japanese Publication Number 07-089086 to Isao (hereinafter Isao). Isao discloses an ink package assembly comprising an ink package (1, 3, 4) fluid tightly containing a mass of an ink and a

Art Unit: 3728

sealing wrapper (6) fluid tightly enclosing the ink package and an interior space of the sealing wrapper is charged with an inert gas such as helium gas which has a lower degree of solubility in the ink than the air (see Drawing 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-5, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 The Japanese Publication Number 61-141560 to Kyuhachiro (hereinafter Kyuhachiro) or The
 Japanese Publication Number 07-089086 to Isao (hereinafter Isao) in view of The Japanese
 Publication Number 11-129489 to Hikari (hereinafter Hikari). Kyuhachiro or Isao discloses the
 ink package assembly as above having all the limitations of the claims except for the ink package
 includes a flexible ink bag having an opening at one end, a spout having a passage and a closure
 member for closing the passage. Hikari shows an ink package comprising a flexible ink bag (2,
 3) having an opening, a spout (5) fixed to the opening and having a passage and a closure
 member (6) for closing the passage (Drawing 1). It would have been obvious to one having
 ordinary skill in the art in view of Hikari to modify the ink package of Kyuhachiro or Isao so the
 ink package comprises a flexible ink bag having an opening at one end, a spout having a passage
 and a closure member for closing the passage to allow the ink package assembly to hold various

Application/Control Number: 10/694,797

Art Unit: 3728

Page 4

types of ink packages. Claims 14 and 15 are drawn to the obvious method of producing the ink package assembly of Kyuhachiro or Isao as modified.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-4552. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Ms. Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (571) 273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb

August 19, 2005

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner